Vrije Universiteit Brussel



Interdisciplinary knowledge gaps on intravenous fluid management in adult patients: survey among physicians and nurses of a university hospital

Wuyts, Stephanie C M; Scheyltjens, Simon; Hubloue, Ives; Dupont, Alain G; Cornu, Pieter

Published in: Journal of Evaluation in Clinical Practice

DOI: 10.1111/jep.13663

Publication date: 2022

Document Version: Accepted author manuscript

Link to publication

Citation for published version (APA): Wuyts, S. C. M., Scheyltjens, S., Hubloue, I., Dupont, A. G., & Cornu, P. (2022). Interdisciplinary knowledge gaps on intravenous fluid management in adult patients: survey among physicians and nurses of a university hospital. Journal of Evaluation in Clinical Practice, 28(4), 599-606. https://doi.org/10.1111/jep.13663

Copyright

No part of this publication may be reproduced or transmitted in any form, without the prior written permission of the author(s) or other rights holders to whom publication rights have been transferred, unless permitted by a license attached to the publication (a Creative Commons license or other), or unless exceptions to copyright law apply.

Take down policy

If you believe that this document infringes your copyright or other rights, please contact openaccess@vub.be, with details of the nature of the infringement. We will investigate the claim and if justified, we will take the appropriate steps.

- 1 Interdisciplinary knowledge gaps on intravenous fluid management in adult
- 2 patients: survey among physicians and nurses of a university hospital
- 3 INTRAVENOUS FLUID KNOWLEDGE GAPS IN THE HOSPITAL
- 4 Wuyts Stephanie CM^{1,2}, Scheyltjens Simon³, Hubloue Ives^{3,4}, Dupont Alain G¹,
- 5 Cornu Pieter^{1,5}
- 6 ¹ Research group Clinical Pharmacology and Clinical Pharmacy, Centre for Pharmaceutical
- 7 Research, Faculty of Medicine and Pharmacy, Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Brussels, Belgium
- 8 ² Pharmacy Department, Universitair Ziekenhuis Brussel, Brussels, Belgium
- 9 ³ Department of Emergency Medicine, Universitair Ziekenhuis Brussel, Brussels, Belgium
- ⁴ Research group in Emergency and Disaster Medicine, Faculty of Medicine and Pharmacy,
- 11 Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Brussels, Belgium
- 12 ⁵ Department of Information and Communication Technologies, Universitair Ziekenhuis
- 13 Brussel, Brussels, Belgium
- 14
- 15 Corresponding author:
- 16 Wuyts Stephanie C M
- 17 Laarbeeklaan 101
- 18 1090 Brussels
- 19 Belgium
- 20 E-mail address: stephanie.wuyts@vub.be
- 21
- 22 Wuyts S.C.M.: ORCID: 0000-0002-9511-155X
- 23 Scheyltjens S.: ORCID: 0000-0003-3025-5066
- 24 Hubloue I.: ORCID: 0000-0002-4535-801X
- 25 Dupont A.G.: ORCID: 0000-0001-9403-0494
- 26 Cornu P.: ORCID: 0000-0002-4802-0241
- 27 Authors' contributions: SW, SS and AD have contributed to the study concept and study
- 28 design. Additionally, SW analyzed the data and interpretation was done with SS and AD. SW
- 29 prepared the manuscript. SS, IH, AD and PC revised the manuscript.
- 30
- 31

Interdisciplinary knowledge gaps on intravenous fluid management in adult patients: survey among physicians and nurses of a university hospital

34 Abstract

Rationale: Intravenous (IV) fluids are frequently involved in iatrogenic complications
 in hospitalized patients. Knowledge of IV fluids seems inadequate and is not covered
 sufficiently in standard medical education.

Method: Two surveys were developed, based on the 2016 British National Institute for
Health and Care Excellence guideline 'IV fluid therapy in adults in hospital', to provide
insight on the learning needs and expectations of physicians and nurses. Each survey
focused on profession-specific practice and consisted of three parts: demographics,
knowledge questions and evaluation of current habits. Physicians and nurses practicing
in a Belgian university hospital were invited to complete the survey electronically,
respectively in January and May 2018.

45 **Results:** A total of 103 physicians (19%) and 259 nurses (24%) participated. Although 46 every indication for fluid therapy may require a specific fluid and electrolyte mixture, 47 and hence, knowledge of their exact composition, most physicians and nurses did not 48 know the composition of commonly prescribed solutions for IV infusion. Senior 49 physicians did not score better than juniors did on questions concerning the daily needs 50 of a nil-by-mouth patient. The availability of an IV fluid on the ward guides physicians 51 to prescribe IV fluids (17%). Nurses (56%) feel they share responsibility in fluid 52 management as they frequently intervene in urgent situations. More than half of 53 participants (70% of physicians, 79% of nurses) indicated a need for additional 54 information.

55 Conclusions: A clear need for more structured information on IV fluids was identified.
56 Both physicians and nurses struggle with fluid therapy. Continuing education on IV
57 fluid management, emphasizing multidisciplinary collaboration, and monitoring
58 evidence-based practice is essential to support the clinical decision process in daily
59 practice.

Keywords: intravenous fluids; fluid management; prescribing practice; nurse collaboration; survey

Interdisciplinary knowledge gaps on intravenous fluid management in adult
 patients: survey among physicians and nurses of a university hospital

64

65

1. Introduction

66 Medication errors in the prescribing and the administration process, are important health risks for hospitalized patients.^{1,2} The intravenous (IV) route has been identified as an important risk 67 factor for administration errors.^{3,4} Inpatients often require IV therapy with fluids to maintain an 68 69 optimal fluid and electrolyte balance. When too much of an IV fluid is administered or the wrong solution is prescribed, a significant impact on the patient's fluid status can be expected 70 71 and electrolyte disturbances are currently seen, especially in hemodynamically unstable patients with fluctuating kidney or cardiac function.⁵⁻⁷ Though frequently prescribed, IV fluids are not 72 73 always considered as real medication, with a specific indication, dose and possible side effects, resulting in errors and potential harm.⁸⁻¹⁰ 74

75 Learning needs of prescribing physicians have been studied thoroughly in different settings. Knowledge of IV fluids seems inadequate and is not covered sufficiently in standard medical 76 education.¹¹⁻¹⁴ Junior physicians in particular struggle with IV fluid prescriptions, while they 77 are expected to do so early on in real world practice and especially during on-call duty.¹⁵⁻¹⁸ 78 79 Research on IV fluid therapy in the United Kingdom (UK) demonstrated the complexity of the 80 IV fluid prescription and administration. Therefore, the British National Institute for Health and Care Excellence's (NICE) guideline for 'IV fluid therapy in adults in hospital' was developed 81 in 2013 and has been the golden standard since.¹⁹ Literature on IV fluid knowledge is scarce 82 83 outside the UK. Moreover, surveys questioning fluid knowledge in other countries generally 84 focus on a specific type of setting like the perioperative period and do not explore hospital-wide practice.20,21 85

Different interventions have been described to improve prescribing by optimizing fluid balance 86 charts or by implementing a guideline or care bundle.²²⁻²⁵ So far, most improvement programs 87 88 focus on the perspective of the physician, whereas other healthcare professionals can also 89 contribute to safer and qualitative IV fluid therapy. Nurses, for example, are actively involved 90 in the administration and monitoring of fluids. Their involvement has proven to increase patient 91 safety as well, but their conceptual knowledge on IV fluid therapy has not been studied as has been done in physicians.²⁶⁻²⁸ The quality of care delivered by nurses is influenced by individual 92 93 characteristics of the nurse and organizational aspects. In a study of Aiken et al. (2017), a richer 94 skill mix of the nursing staff, defined as the ratio of professional nurses in the hospital to all direct care nursing personnel, was associated with a lower odds of mortality (Odds ratio 0.89,
95% confidence interval 0.80-0.98).²⁹ An inter-professional collaboration of physicians, nurses
and pharmacists has already been recommended to decrease errors in IV fluid management in
the pediatric setting.³⁰

99

100 Background

101 Evidence of knowledge gaps of medical doctors in IV fluid management in the hospital is 102 lacking outside of the UK. A structured questionnaire to evaluate practice on IV fluid therapy 103 is not available. First, we aimed to develop a survey to identify the learning needs and 104 expectations of physicians and nurses managing IV fluid therapy in hospitalized adult patients. 105 Although nurses should be aware of different concepts related to fluid balance and IV fluid 106 administration, their knowledge base of IV fluid therapy has not been explored yet. Therefore, 107 we evaluated daily fluid management in these two groups of healthcare professionals, active in 108 a Belgian hospital.

109

110 Methods

111 In this study, two complementary surveys were developed in Dutch, targeting two groups of

112 healthcare professionals working at a 721-bed tertiary care hospital in Belgium. The first group

113 were physicians (senior and junior) actively prescribing IV fluids for adults. The second group

114 were nurses treating adult patients.

A multidisciplinary expert team (an emergency physician, an intensive care physician, a clinical pharmacist, a nurse and the hospital's quality coordinator) developed different items for each survey. The questions were based on similar surveys done in other countries, since a validated survey instrument was lacking. The content was adapted according to the NICE guideline for 'IV fluid therapy in adults in hospital' because of the absence of a Belgian guideline at the time of the study.¹⁹

121 Both surveys consisted of three parts, (1) basic demographics, (2) habits whilst managing IV

122 fluids, (3) knowledge of the healthcare professional on IV fluids.

123 The surveys were developed through a step-wise process of construction, review, pilot testing 124 and clarification. Each survey was tested by the targeted professional (respectively, three

125 physicians and three nurses). The hospital's Pharmacy and Therapeutics Committee approved

126 the final versions.

127 Physicians active in adult care were invited to participate via an electronic invitation in January 128 2018 to fill in the survey. Every physician received an invitation via the hospital's intranet web 129 SurveyMonkey[®] with a hyperlink to the online survey made using page 130 (www.surveymonkey.com, SurveyMonkey Inc., San Mateo, CA, USA). Similarly, the nurses 131 were invited later on in May 2018. Each group of professionals was given one month to 132 complete the survey. Participation was voluntary. An electronic reminder was sent after two 133 weeks. Participants who did not prescribe or administer these fluids due to their field of 134 expertise or workspace (e.g. pediatrics) were excluded.

135

136 Data analysis

137 We aimed to map the knowledge and daily practice of physicians and nurses on IV fluids by 138 means of a structured survey. A descriptive statistical analysis was performed of the respondents' answers using IBM SPSS Statistics® v26 software (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, 139 140 USA). For continuous variables, the mean with standard deviation or median with interquartile 141 range (IQR) were provided as appropriate. For categorical variables, absolute (n) and relative 142 frequencies (%) were used. Answers of junior physicians were compared to those of seniors, 143 and the physicians' results were compared to nurses' results using the Chi square test (α =0.05). 144 All registered responses were included, even if the survey was only partially completed.

145

146 **Ethical considerations**

147 The ethics committee (Commissie Medische Ethiek (O.G. 016), Reflectiegroep Biomedische 148 Ethiek, UZ Brussel) approved the study (B.U.N. 1432020000227). Informed consent was 149 waived by the committee. The study was carried out in accordance with the ethical principles 150 for medical research involving human subjects established by Helsinki's Declaration, protecting 151 the privacy of all participants, as well as the confidentiality of their personal information.

152

153 **Results**

154 Survey development

The surveys were constructed in three phases. First, the clinicians defined the surveys' content. Second, questions were composed and then evaluated by the quality coordinator. When appropriate, the answer option "I don't know" was added. To limit the extensiveness of the questionnaire, the panel chose to show in-depth knowledge questions only to physicians who actively prescribe IV fluids (i.e. all junior physicians and senior physicians active in

anesthesiology, emergency medicine, intensive care or internal medicine). Knowledge 160 161 concerning diagnosis and monitoring of patients in need of IV fluids was questioned by means 162 of a test case in order to evaluate clinical reasoning. This was not the case for the nurses' survey. 163 Commercial names of the IV fluids were used throughout the survey, as they were mentioned 164 on the hospital's therapeutic formulary. Knowledge questions for nurses focused primarily on 165 administration of concentrated electrolytes and less on the composition and appropriate choice 166 of an IV fluid as this was thought to be the physician's main responsibility. A question on 167 glucose presence was added because this is important for diabetes management. Total fluid need for a nil-by-mouth (NBM) patient was considered relevant to avoid under- or 168 169 overtreatment. Third, based on the feedback from the persons involved in the pilot tests, 170 demographics were limited to total years of experience and specialism or ward of activity, to 171 avoid respondent identification. Additionally answer options were further clarified or extended. 172 The final instruments are added in Appendix 1 (physicians) and 2 (nurses).

173

174 Survey results

A total of 531 physicians and 1063 nurses received an invitation to participate. Among the aforementioned, 103 physicians and 259 nurses accepted to participate and were included in this study, resulting in a response rate of respectively 19.4% and 24.4%. Sixteen physicians and 35 nurses were excluded because they did not prescribe or administer IV fluids in their daily practice.

180

181 *Physicians' survey*

182 The respondents' characteristics are displayed in Table 1. The respondents had a median of 183 seven years of experience (IQR=11) in clinical practice. Anesthesiologists and emergency 184 physicians had the highest response rate (respectively 63% and 57%), followed by intensive 185 care physicians (36%). Response rates in other departments were low. More than half of the 186 responding physicians were senior staff members (54%). Apart from one senior physician, all 187 these physicians were actively supervising trainees. Proportionally, respondents working in 188 critical care units were most likely to be juniors (62% of all participating junior physicians (n=47); 29 juniors versus 27 seniors). More detailed participant characteristics are described in 189 190 Table A3.1 and A3.2 of Appendix 3. Fifteen respondents did not fully complete the survey, 191 which resulted in a final completed response rate of 17%. A median of 12 minutes was 192 necessary to complete the survey.

193 Although knowledge on the exact composition of an IV solution (i.e. water, glucose and 194 electrolytes in various mixtures) is essential to prescribe the right fluid for the diagnosed 195 condition, the composition of different crystalloids was not well-known by the responding 196 physicians (e.g. 45% did not know the sodium concentration of one liter of 0.9% sodium chloride; 57% failed to indicate the right sodium concentration of one liter of Glucion[®], a 197 198 balanced glucose- and electrolyte rich crystalloid; one quarter of the respondents indicated that PlasmaLyte[®] contained glucose). On the other hand, all respondents, except one, identified the 199 200 indication of hydroxyethyl-starches (Volulyte®) correctly. Seniors identified the sodium 201 content in Glucion[®] (a hypotonic glucose and electrolyte mixture) better than physicians in 202 training did (30% vs 11%, p=0.005). There were no other significant differences between junior 203 and senior physicians.

204 Three responding physicians did not fill in the second part on prescribing habits, resulting in 205 100 final respondents for this part. Overall, these participating physicians indicated that they feel comfortable prescribing IV maintenance fluids or concentrated electrolytes to correct 206 207 volume and electrolyte imbalances. Median scores on the presented five point-Likert scales 208 were respectively 4 (IQR=1) and 4 (IQR=1). A majority (69%) of respondents indicated that 209 their IV fluid prescriptions are not guideline-based. They indicated that their prescriptions are 210 based on the availability of the fluid on the ward (17%), what their colleagues or supervisor 211 taught them (14%), common knowledge (13%), habit (13%), cost (9%) or other reasons (3%). 212 In 89% of the cases, the physician said to consider the oral intake in the evaluation of a patient's 213 fluid balance. IV fluids administered to dilute IV drugs are often forgotten in this evaluation 214 process (10% never evaluates these fluids' volumes; 15% does not consider their composition), 215 as shown in Figure 1. Although a daily assessment of the patient's volume status is common 216 practice, a part of the participating physicians (13%) only evaluate this upon occurrence of a 217 problem, such as an electrolyte imbalance.

Among responding prescribers, different habits on the administration of a fluid bolus were identified, e.g. the IV fluid's volume (80% would prescribe the right amount: 250-500 mL) and infusion duration (30% indicated the right answer: 10-15 min). The most important clinical parameter to determine a patient's fluid responsiveness, the passive leg raising test, was correctly identified by 43% of the respondents (Figure 2).

223 Knowledge on metabolic daily needs of an adult NBM patient was insufficient (Table 2). For

- sodium, 42% identified the correct daily needs, being 1 mmol/kg. For potassium, 51% correctly
- indicated 1 mmol/kg. The daily need of glucose was correctly identified in 41% of cases.

- Overall, we did not observe significant differences in the scores between junior and senior physicians (sodium: p=0.407; potassium: p=0.391; glucose: p=0.865).
- 228 It was established that the involvement of nurses is necessary based on the fact that 24% of
- 229 participating physicians answered that in some cases, nurses choose the IV fluid themselves
- 230 (e.g. in absence of an available physician).

In general, 46% of respondents indicated that their ward had no guidelines on IV fluid management or they did not know (25%). A high need for more information on IV fluids was mentioned by 70% of the respondents. The preferred communication methods were an oral presentation (54%), a written guideline (49%), e-learning (44%) or information directly available in the electronic medical record (44%).

236

237 Nurses' survey

238 Respondents had a median of 18 years of experience (IQR=23). The nurses were active on a 239 ward (85%) or the outpatient clinic (10%). More details on the workspace of the participating 240 nurses are added in Table A3.3 of Appendix 3. Of 259 respondents, only 221 nurses finished 241 the survey completely in a median time of 8 minutes, resulting in a final completed response 242 rate of 20%. Knowledge on the composition of frequently prescribed crystalloids was limited (e.g. 36% thought PlasmaLyte[®] contained glucose). The correct daily water need of a NBM 243 244 patient was identified by 36% of the participating nurses. The nurse respondents, on their part, 245 answered that administration related information on concentrated electrolytes is common 246 knowledge. Potassium chloride was particularly well known (92%); the least encountered 247 electrolytes were calcium gluconate (33%), magnesium chloride (28%) and sodium phosphate 248 (16%). Only 33% knew where to find additional information.

As stated by 77% of the respondents, the absence of a fluid prescription is a frequent problem. More than half of the respondents (56%) feel obliged to choose an IV fluid themselves, especially in urgent situations (38%) or in absence of a physician (24%). Participating nurses' perceptions on the frequency of fluid balance documentation were different from the physicians' impressions (p<0.001, Figure 3).

- Almost two thirds of the responding nurses (79%) indicated that they evaluate lab results before choosing a drug diluent. They were more aware of the availability of ward-based guidelines than physicians (43% versus 29%). There was also a high request (79%) for more information on IV fluid therapy in this group of healthcare professionals. The same communication methods were preferred as the ones indicated by the physicians.
- 259

260 Discussion

261 In this single center study, we developed two surveys and used them to evaluate knowledge and 262 habits of physicians and nurses on IV fluid therapy for adult patients. Based on the results of 263 both physicians and nurses, we can conclude that knowledge on IV fluids is insufficient in our 264 hospital. These findings are in line with the results of other hospital-wide survey-based studies in the UK.^{12,14,16-18,31} No similar studies were found in other parts of Europe. Most of these 265 studies focus on the knowledge base of physicians in training. Confidence in prescribing 266 267 appears to grow as physicians continue their training.³² However, senior physicians did not 268 perform better than their junior counterparts did.

269 In recent years, more and more high-quality trials have led to evidence-based data on safety and efficacy of IV fluids to guide prescribers in clinical practice, such as the SMART trial³³ and 270 271 SALT-ED trial.³⁴ Although the participating physicians indicate feeling comfortable 272 prescribing IV fluids, they fail to adopt an evidence-based approach in choosing the most 273 optimal fluid for the patient's condition. However, knowledge of IV fluid composition and fluid 274 status assessment is essential to avoid iatrogenic complications such as electrolyte disturbances 275 (e.g. hyponatremia) or organ failure due to fluid overload.⁶ In a retrospective study in medical wards, Mousavi et al. found 1.3 IV fluid-related errors per admitted patient.⁹ Overall, the cause 276 277 of medication errors are mostly slips and lapses, followed by knowledge-based mistakes. 278 Training, expertise and experience of the healthcare professionals, can influence the conditions in which these mistakes occur.^{2,3} For example, there is evidence that interventions aiming to 279 limit a positive cumulative fluid balance are associated with better patient outcomes.⁷ This 280 281 suggests that continued education and stimulating awareness on IV fluids in correspondence with the latest results of fluid management research remains important. Nonetheless, solely 282 283 guideline-based practice is no guarantee for clinically relevant patient outcomes.³⁵ The patient's context should always be taken into account as well. Therefore, evidence-based practice should 284 285 be a combination of knowledge of the most recent evidence combined with clinical judgment 286 of the individual patient's needs.

Both respondent groups emphasized the complementary role of physicians and nurses in IV fluid management. Nursing staff has a key role in periods where a physician is absent in the ward, for example during nights or in urgent situations. Therefore, it is mandatory to include nurses in an interdisciplinary educational program in order to achieve improved knowledge in all concerned healthcare professionals. Basic information on the water, glucose and electrolyte content of an IV fluid can easily reduce knowledge-based errors and should be at their disposal at all times. Awareness and accessibility of drug related information is needed, as only 33% of nurses knew where to find this information. Next to the nurses' double check upon administration, additional methods can be used to make physicians more aware of prescribing errors. Medication review by a clinical pharmacist has already proven to be a successful strategy for other therapeutic situations. The pharmacist can also provide individual or generic feedback on prescribing errors, which is identified by the physicians themselves as an effective and preferred method.^{36,37}

300 The patient's response to an IV fluid depends on the type and volume of fluid. Nurses can help 301 the physicians in charge to make an individually adapted IV fluid plan by registering critical 302 bedside parameters. According to the participating nurses' answers, a detailed fluid balance 303 documentation in the patient's medical record was indicated more frequently compared to the 304 physicians' responses. This differing perception can be due to ward-based variations. In critical 305 care settings, more specific parameters are monitored and fluid balance charting is common 306 practice. Because of the high proportion of anesthesiologists and emergency physicians in the 307 group of responding physicians, these physicians may not apprehend the daily practices on the 308 ward itself because they only see patients briefly. On the other hand, simple parameters to assess 309 fluid responsiveness in resuscitation are available but their application appears to be not well 310 known, as shown in Figure 2. A prerequisite for fluid monitoring is choosing and measuring 311 the right parameter to make a reliable assessment. Nurses require the adequate skill set and tools 312 to measure the ins and outs of fluids accurately to avoid mismanagement based on inaccurate results or to avoid disregard of measures by the medical staff.^{38,39} However, it is also essential 313 to avoid over-registration. Nurse documentation has to be standardized, user-friendly and 314 manageable within their daily tasks.^{40,41} Additionally, devices like smart infusion pumps reduce 315 316 medication errors, but they can also help caregivers by calculating the volume of inadvertent 317 fluid load through different sources of fluid administration (e.g. IV medication or catheter flushing).⁴² An electronic clinical decision support system can provide extra information to the 318 319 physician upon order entry to prevent fluid or electrolyte imbalances. These systems have proven to be effective in the prevention of prescribing errors.⁴³ 320

Both, responding physicians (70%) and nurses (79%) would welcome more training on IV fluids. Different methods have been described to educate healthcare professionals. A combination of interventions was already suggested by the respondents and are in line with those already suggested in literature. A hospital-wide quality improvement project, as is 'fluid stewardship', is a possible way to use coordinated interventions to familiarize caregivers with guidelines and apply them in their daily practice, by means of training, feedback reports and audits. This has proven to be effective in antibiotic prescribing and is a rational approach that
 could easily be extrapolated to IV fluids.¹⁰

329

330 Limitations

331 We present the first Belgian study to explore the knowledge of physicians and nurses on IV 332 fluids. Previous studies on general IV fluid management were only performed in the UK and 333 only focused on prescribers, whereas we also considered nurses to be important, complementary 334 key caregivers in IV fluid management. This view was shared by responding physicians as well. 335 In a bi-national survey, organized in Australia and New Zealand, the involvement of nurses was acknowledged in fluid bolus therapy in critical care wards.⁴⁴ We chose not to focus on a 336 337 particular unit or setting and have a wide scope. This may be a reason for the low response rates 338 in the two responding groups, which could have led to a response bias. Besides, web-based 339 surveys are not a popular tool and IV fluids might be a subject of which people are aware of 340 their scarce knowledge, potentially adding to the limited response rate. It is also difficult to 341 reach every caregiver when using an electronic invitation. The time investment to fill in a survey 342 may be an additional reason for the limited response rate. At the same time, the variety of 343 responses in these small groups, of which the majority were healthcare professionals working 344 in critical wards, does indicate a need for uniformity and transparency to ensure an evidence-345 based use of IV fluids in our hospital. The actual in-hospital understanding of fluid management 346 remains a point of discussion as respondents may only tend to participate if they feel their 347 knowledge on IV fluids is considered acceptable. Therefore, our results may be an 348 overestimation. On the other hand, healthcare professionals who are too confident of their 349 knowledge of IV fluids and actually lack sufficient expertise, may have participated which 350 could have led to an underestimation of current practice.

351

352 **Conclusions**

Evaluating daily practice on IV fluid management is valuable as a first step towards the prevention of medication errors. The answers from both the physicians and nurses indicated a clearly insufficient knowledge and a need for more structured evidence-based information on IV fluids. A hospital-wide guideline for a multidisciplinary approach of IV fluid therapy is necessary to support the clinical decision process and add to qualitative clinical practice throughout the patient's hospital stay. A supplementary program to improve the knowledge of these healthcare professionals should be considered. 360

361 Acknowledgements

- 362 The authors would like to thank all members of the Pharmacy and Therapeutics Committee
- 363 who helped in the preparation of the questions in the physicians' and nurses' survey as well as
- the critical evaluation of the results. No funding was used nor demanded.
- 365

366 **Declaration of interest**

- 367 Wuyts S.C.M., Scheyltjens S., Hubloue I., Dupont A.G., Cornu P. declare not having any kind
- 368 of conflict of interest.
- 369

370 **References**

- 3711.Dean B, Schachter M, Vincent C, Barber N. Prescribing errors in hospital inpatients: their372incidence and clinical significance. Qual Saf Health Care. 2002;11(4):340-344.
- Keers RN, Williams SD, Cooke J, Ashcroft DM. Causes of medication administration errors in hospitals: a systematic review of quantitative and qualitative evidence. *Drug Safety*. 2013;36(11):1045-1067.
- 376 3. Keers RN, Williams SD, Cooke J, Ashcroft DM. Understanding the causes of intravenous
 377 medication administration errors in hospitals: a qualitative critical incident study. *BMJ Open*.
 378 2015;5(3):e005948.
- 4. Han PY, Coombes ID, Green B. Factors predictive of intravenous fluid administration errors in
 Australian surgical care wards. *Qual Saf Health Care*. 2005;14(3):179-184.
- 5. Van Regenmortel N, Verbrugghe W, Roelant E, Van den Wyngaert T, Jorens PG. Maintenance
 fluid therapy and fluid creep impose more significant fluid, sodium, and chloride burdens than
 resuscitation fluids in critically ill patients: a retrospective study in a tertiary mixed ICU
 population. *Intensive Care Med.* 2018.
- 3856.Van Regenmortel N, Hendrickx S, Roelant E, et al. 154 compared to 54 mmol per liter of sodium386in intravenous maintenance fluid therapy for adult patients undergoing major thoracic surgery387(TOPMAST): a single-center randomized controlled double-blind trial. *Intensive Care Med.*3882019;45(10):1422-1432.
- 389 7. Malbrain ML, Marik PE, Witters I, et al. Fluid overload, de-resuscitation, and outcomes in critically ill or injured patients: a systematic review with suggestions for clinical practice.
 391 *Anaesthesiol Intensive Ther.* 2014;46(5):361-380.
- 392 8. Gao X, Huang KP, Wu HY, et al. Inappropriate prescribing of intravenous fluid in adult
 393 inpatients-a literature review of current practice and research. *J Clin Pharm Ther.*394 2015;40(5):489-495.
- 395 9. Mousavi M, Khalili H, Dashti-Khavidaki S. Errors in fluid therapy in medical wards. *Int J Clin Pharm.* 2012;34(2):374-381.

- Malbrain M, Van Regenmortel N, Saugel B, et al. Principles of fluid management and stewardship in septic shock: it is time to consider the four D's and the four phases of fluid therapy. *Ann Intensive Care*. 2018;8(1):66.
- 400 11. McCrory RFR, Gormley GJ, Maxwell AP, Dornan T. Learning to prescribe intravenous fluids:
 401 A scoping review. *Perspect Med Educ.* 2017;6(6):369-379.
- 402 12. Ramsay G, Baggaley A, Vaughan Shaw PG, et al. Variability in the prescribing of intravenous fluids: A cross sectional multicentre analysis of clinical practice. *Int J Surg.* 2018;51:199-204.
- 404 13. Powell AG, Paterson-Brown S, Drummond GB. Undergraduate medical textbooks do not provide adequate information on intravenous fluid therapy: a systematic survey and suggestions for improvement. *BMC Med Educ*. 2014;14:35.
- 407 14. Lobo DN, Dube MG, Neal KR, Simpson J, Rowlands BJ, Allison SP. Problems with solutions:
 408 drowning in the brine of an inadequate knowledge base. *Clin Nutr.* 2001;20(2):125-130.
- 409 15. Hartridge-Lambert S, Moore L, Walker O, Wilkinson D. Improving out-of-hours intravenous
 410 fluid prescribing for junior doctors: a prescription label. *BMJ Qual Improv Rep.* 2013;2(1).
- 411 16. Lim CT, Dunlop M, Lim CS. Intravenous fluid prescribing practices by foundation year one doctors a questionnaire study. *JRSM Short Rep.* 2012;3(9):64.
- 413 17. Powell A, Walker VC, Paterson-Brown L, Clark G, Drummond GB, Paterson-Brown S.
 414 Intravenous Fluid Prescribing Knowledge and Confidence in F1 Doctors. *Ann R Coll Surg*415 *Eng.* 2013;95(6):1-6.
- 416 18. McCloskey M, Maxwell P, Gormley G. Learning fluid prescription skills: why is it so challenging? *Clin Teach*. 2015;12(4):250-254.
- 418 19. National Clinical Guideline Centre. National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence:
 419 Guidance. In: *Intravenous Fluid Therapy: Intravenous Fluid Therapy in Adults in Hospital*.
 420 London: Royal College of Physicians (UK) National Clinical Guideline Centre.; 2013.
- Protsyk V, Rasmussen BS, Guarracino F, Erb J, Turton E, Ender J. Fluid Management in Cardiac Surgery: Results of a Survey in European Cardiac Anesthesia Departments. J *Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth.* 2017;31(5):1624-1629.
- 424 21. Angarita FA, Dueck AD, Azouz SM. Postoperative electrolyte management: Current practice
 425 patterns of surgeons and residents. *Surgery*. 2015;158(1):289-299.
- 426 22. Sansom LT, Duggleby L. Intravenous fluid prescribing: Improving prescribing practices and documentation in line with NICE CG174 guidance. *BMJ Qual Improv Rep.* 2014;3(1).
- 428 23. Forryan J, Mishra V. Optimisation of intravenous fluid prescribing: framework for changing practice through education and audits. *BMJ Open Qual.* 2017;6(2):e000187.
- 430 24. Ferenczi E, Datta SS, Chopada A. Intravenous fluid administration in elderly patients at a
 431 London hospital: a two-part audit encompassing ward-based fluid monitoring and prescribing
 432 practice by doctors. *Int J Surg.* 2007;5(6):408-412.
- 433 25. Fehmi J, Carpenter A, Townsend M, et al. Out of hours intravenous fluid therapy: a prompt to guide prescribing. *BMJ Qual Improv Rep.* 2015;4(1).
- 435 26. Reid J, Robb E, Stone D, et al. Improving the monitoring and assessment of fluid balance. *Nurs times*. 2004;100(20):36-39.

- 437 27. Vincent M, Mahendiran T. Improvement of fluid balance monitoring through education and
 438 rationalisation. *BMJ Qual Improv Rep.* 2015;4(1).
- 439 28. Eastwood GM, Peck L, Young H, et al. Intravenous fluid administration and monitoring for adult ward patients in a teaching hospital. *Nurs Health Sci.* 2012;14(2):265-271.
- 441 29. Aiken LH, Sloane D, Griffiths P, et al. Nursing skill mix in European hospitals: cross-sectional
 442 study of the association with mortality, patient ratings, and quality of care. *BMJ Qual Saf.*443 2017;26(7):559-568.
- 444 30. Conn RL, McVea S, Carrington A, Dornan T. Intravenous fluid prescribing errors in children:
 445 Mixed methods analysis of critical incidents. *PloS one*. 2017;12(10):e0186210.
- 44631.Leach RM, Brotherton A, Stroud M, Thompson R. Nutrition and fluid balance must be taken447seriously. *BMJ (Clinical research ed)*. 2013;346:f801.
- 448 32. Ryan C, Ross S, Davey P, et al. Prevalence and causes of prescribing errors: the PRescribing
 449 Outcomes for Trainee Doctors Engaged in Clinical Training (PROTECT) study. *PloS one*.
 450 2014;9(1):e79802.
- 451 33. Semler MW, Self WH, Wanderer JP, et al. Balanced Crystalloids versus Saline in Critically Ill
 452 Adults. *N Engl J Med.* 2018;378(9):829-839.
- 453 34. Self WH, Semler MW, Wanderer JP, et al. Balanced Crystalloids versus Saline in Noncritically
 454 Ill Adults. *N Engl J Med.* 2018;378(9):819-828.
- 455 35. Mercuri M, Sherbino J, Sedran RJ, Frank JR, Gafni A, Norman G. When guidelines don't guide:
 456 the effect of patient context on management decisions based on clinical practice guidelines.
 457 Acad Med. 2015;90(2):191-196.
- 45836.Reynolds M, Jheeta S, Benn J, et al. Improving feedback on junior doctors' prescribing errors:459mixed-methods evaluation of a quality improvement project. BMJ Qual Saf. 2017;26(3):240-460247.
- 461 37. Lim WY, Hss AS, Ng LM, et al. The impact of a prescription review and prescriber feedback
 462 system on prescribing practices in primary care clinics: a cluster randomised trial. *BMC Fam*463 *Pract.* 2018;19(1):120.
- 464 38. Myny D, Van Goubergen D, Gobert M, Vanderwee K, Van Hecke A, Defloor T. Non-direct
 465 patient care factors influencing nursing workload: a review of the literature. J Adv Nurs.
 466 2011;67(10):2109-2129.
- 467 39. Perren A, Markmann M, Merlani G, Marone C, Merlani P. Fluid balance in critically ill patients.
 468 Should we really rely on it? *Minerva anestesiol*. 2011;77(8):802-811.
- 469 40. Yeung MS, Lapinsky SE, Granton JT, Doran DM, Cafazzo JA. Examining nursing vital signs documentation workflow: barriers and opportunities in general internal medicine units. *J Clin Nurs*. 2012;21(7-8):975-982.
- 41. Skyttberg N, Vicente J, Chen R, Blomqvist H, Koch S. How to improve vital sign data quality
 473 for use in clinical decision support systems? A qualitative study in nine Swedish emergency
 474 departments. *BMC Med Inform Decis Mak.* 2016;16:61.
- 475 42. Ohashi K, Dalleur O, Dykes PC, Bates DW. Benefits and risks of using smart pumps to reduce medication error rates: a systematic review. *Drug Safety*. 2014;37(12):1011-1020.

- 477 43. Varghese J, Kleine M, Gessner SI, Sandmann S, Dugas M. Effects of computerized decision
 478 support system implementations on patient outcomes in inpatient care: a systematic review.
 479 JAMIA. 2018;25(5):593-602.
- 480 44. Eastwood GM, Parke R, Peck L, et al. Intravenous fluid bolus therapy: a bi-national survey of critical care nurses' self-reported practice. *Anaesth Intensive Care*. 2016;44(1):44-51.
- 482

483

484 Figure legends

485

486 Figure 1: Prescriber's habits in the evaluation of IV fluids administered to dilute IV drugs

- 487 Figure 2: Parameter used in the evaluation of fluid responsiveness
- 488 Figure 3: Physicians' (n=98) versus nurses' (n=221) perception on the frequency of fluid
- 489 balance documentation
- 490

491 Tables

492 Table 1: Characteristics of responding physicians

	Junior $(n = 47)$	Senior (n = 56)	Total (n = 103)		
Years of experience, median, interquartile range (IQR)	4 (3)	13.5 (18)	7 (11)		
Supervisor, n (%)	-	55 (98)			
Specialism, n (%)					
Anesthesiology	10 (21)	17 (30)	27 (26)		
Emergency medicine	19 (40)	5 (9)	24 (23)		
Gynecology	-	2 (3)	2 (2)		
Intensive care medicine	-	5 (9)	5 (5)		
Internal medicine	14 (30)	19 (34)	33 (32)		
Otorhinolaryngology	-	1 (2)	1 (1)		
Radiology	-	1 (2)	1 (1)		
• Surgery	4 (9)	6 (11)	10 (10)		

493

494 Table 2: Metabolic daily needs of a nil-by-mouth patient (juniors (n=43) versus seniors (n=45))
495 - *Correct answer

	Sodium (mmol/kg/day)					Potassium (mmol/kg/day)				Glucose (g/kg/day)				
	0.25	0.5	1*	1.5	2	0.25	0.5	1*	1.5	2	0.25-0.5	0.5-1.0	1.0-1.5*	1.5-2.0
Junior	2	9	20	6	6	4	10	24	4	1	1	17	17	8
Senior	1	5	17	10	12	6	12	21	5	1	5	8	19	13

496

497 Figures



