

Determinants and Variations of Hospital Costs in Patients With Lumbar Radiculopathy Hospitalized for Spinal Surgery

Huysmans, Eva; Pien, Karen; Callens, Lieselot; Van Loon, Lesley; Ickmans, Kelly; Nijs, Jo; Buyl, Ronald; Moens, Maarten; Goudman, Lisa; Van Belleghem, Griet; Putman, Koen

Published in:
Spine

DOI:
[10.1097/BRS.0000000000002801](https://doi.org/10.1097/BRS.0000000000002801)

Publication date:
2019

Document Version:
Accepted author manuscript

[Link to publication](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Huysmans, E., Pien, K., Callens, L., Van Loon, L., Ickmans, K., Nijs, J., Buyl, R., Moens, M., Goudman, L., Van Belleghem, G., & Putman, K. (2019). Determinants and Variations of Hospital Costs in Patients With Lumbar Radiculopathy Hospitalized for Spinal Surgery. *Spine*, *44*(5), 355-362.
<https://doi.org/10.1097/BRS.0000000000002801>

Copyright

No part of this publication may be reproduced or transmitted in any form, without the prior written permission of the author(s) or other rights holders to whom publication rights have been transferred, unless permitted by a license attached to the publication (a Creative Commons license or other), or unless exceptions to copyright law apply.

Take down policy

If you believe that this document infringes your copyright or other rights, please contact openaccess@vub.be, with details of the nature of the infringement. We will investigate the claim and if justified, we will take the appropriate steps.

1 DETERMINANTS AND VARIATIONS OF HOSPITAL COSTS IN PATIENTS WITH LUMBAR RADICULOPATHY
2 HOSPITALIZED FOR SPINAL SURGERY

3 Eva Huysmans¹⁻⁵, MSc, PT; Karen Pien⁶, MSc, MD; Lieselot Callens⁷, MSc, PT; Lesley Van Loon⁸, MSc, PT; Kelly
4 Ickmans^{2,4,5}, PhD, PT; Jo Nijs^{2,4,5}, PhD, PT, MT; Ronald Buyl^{3,9}, PhD, PT; Maarten Moens¹⁰⁻¹², PhD, MD; Lisa
5 Goudman^{2,4,10}, MSc, PT, MT; Griet Van Belleghem^{1,3}, MSc; Koen Putman^{1,3}, PhD, PT

6
7 ¹I-CHER, Interuniversity Center for Health Economics Research

8 ²Pain in Motion International Research Group, www.paininmotion.be

9 ³Department of Public Health (GEWE), Faculty of Medicine and Pharmacy, Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Brussels,
10 Belgium

11 ⁴Department of Physiotherapy, Human Physiology and Anatomy, Faculty of Physical Education & Physiotherapy
12 (KIMA), Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Brussels, Belgium

13 ⁵Department of Physical Medicine and Physiotherapy, Universitair Ziekenhuis Brussel, Brussels, Belgium

14 ⁶Medical Registration, Universitair Ziekenhuis Brussel, Brussels, Belgium

15 ⁷Private physiotherapy practice, Hellebuyck, Tielt, Belgium

16 ⁸At the time of the study Lesley Van Loon was a student of the Faculty of Physical Education & Physiotherapy, Vrije
17 Universiteit Brussel, Brussels, Belgium

18 ⁹Department of Biostatistics and Medical Informatics, Faculty of Medicine and Pharmacy, Vrije Universiteit Brussel,
19 Laarbeeklaan 103, 1090 Jette, Belgium

20 ¹⁰Department of Neurosurgery and Radiology, Universitair Ziekenhuis Brussel, Brussels, Belgium

21 ¹¹Center for Neurosciences, Faculty of Medicine & Pharmacy, Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Brussels, Belgium

22 ¹²Department of Manual Therapy (MANU), Faculty of Medicine and Pharmacy, Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Brussels,
23 Belgium

24
25 Address of correspondence and reprints requests to Dra. Eva Huysmans, PhD researcher, Vrije Universiteit Brussel,
26 Building K-GEWE, Laarbeeklaan 103, BE-1090 Brussels, Belgium (e-mail: eva.huysmans@vub.be; telephone: +32
27 2 477 47 20).

28 Conflicts of interest and Source of Funding

29 Eva Huysmans and Lisa Goudman are PhD research fellows of the Agency for Innovation by Science and Technology
30 (IWT) – Applied Biomedical Research Program (TBM), Belgium. Griet Van Belleghem is a PhD research fellow of the
31 Agency for Innovation by Science and Technology (IWT). Kelly Ickmans is a postdoctoral research fellow of the Agency
32 for Innovation by Science and Technology (IWT) – Applied Biomedical Research Program (TBM), Belgium. Maarten
33 Moens is a clinical investigator and received the Lyrica Independent Investigator Research Award (LIIRA). He received
34 consultancy or speaker honoraria from Medtronic and Pfizer. For the remaining authors none were declared.

- 1 The study protocol was approved by the local ethics committee of the University Hospital Brussels (Universitair Ziekenhuis Brussel or UZ Brussel)/Vrije Universiteit Brussel (B.U.N. 143201526926).
- 2

1 **DETERMINANTS AND VARIATIONS OF HOSPITAL COSTS IN PATIENTS WITH LUMBAR RADICULOPATHY**
2 **HOSPITALIZED FOR SPINAL SURGERY**

3

4 **Introduction**

5 Low back pain has a substantial impact on today's society and healthcare systems. Lifetime prevalence
6 rates of low back pain in the general population range from 50% to 90%¹⁻³, of which 5-10% suffer from
7 back pain associated leg pain³. In these patients presenting lumbar radiculopathy, surgical interventions
8 are often indicated, especially when conservative care fails and symptoms keep on aggravating, and/or
9 when motor deficits are present⁴⁻⁷. Conservative care (e.g., education, medication, physical therapy) is
10 often preferred over surgical interventions (e.g., microdiscectomy, laminectomy, fusion) due to the higher
11 direct healthcare costs related to the latter⁴⁻⁷.

12 In the case of spine surgery, the costs related to the initial hospitalization will make up a major part of the
13 direct healthcare costs⁸. Therefore, the intramural cost is an important factor to consider in the evaluation
14 of the economic burden of such surgical interventions. Unfortunately, interpretation of intramural care
15 costs is not straightforward, as one should consider several factors influencing costs, e.g., patient-related
16 factors, such as the presence of comorbidities⁹⁻¹¹ and socio-economic status^{9,12}. Also, type of surgery
17 should be taken into account, as minimally invasive techniques tend to lead to shorter hospital stay¹³⁻¹⁶,
18 which is often used as a proxy for lower hospital costs. By combining hospital claims data with minimal
19 hospital data it becomes possible to identify such determinants of intramural healthcare and related costs.

20 Information regarding hospital costs related to spinal surgery is of importance to clinicians, to increase
21 their understanding of the economic impact of surgical interventions. Additionally, it will give them the
22 opportunity to inform patients about their expected hospital costs in an accurate way. The latter is deemed
23 to be important as patients undergoing surgery for lumbar radiculopathy are unsatisfied with the received
24 information regarding this matter¹⁷. The identification of determinants will eventually lead to the

1 possibility of estimating intramural healthcare costs for various subgroups of patients, which can be
2 beneficial for health policy makers. Considering the high incidence of these surgical interventions - lumbar
3 fusion and laminectomy are part of the top 10 most common surgeries in the USA¹⁸ - this will be of great
4 interest for society.

5 Therefore, the present study aims at determining intramural costs related to surgery for lumbar
6 radiculopathy, as well as identifying determinants of intramural costs based on minimal hospital and claims
7 data.

8

9 **Materials and methods**

10 This retrospective study was written in accordance with the STROBE (Strengthening of the Reporting of
11 Observational Studies in Epidemiology) guidelines¹⁹. The protocol was approved by the local ethics
12 committee of the University Hospital Brussels (UZ Brussel)/Vrije Universiteit Brussel (BLINDED).

13

14 *Data collection*

15 Data were collected from the UZ Brussel data warehouse, a hospital with a capacity of 721 beds and nearly
16 32,000 admissions a year. Data extraction was performed by an independent medical information
17 manager from the UZ Brussel. Patients 18 years and older, undergoing surgery for lumbar radiculopathy
18 from January 1, 2016 until December 31, 2016 were included. Eligible patients were identified based on
19 'International Classification of Diseases – 10th edition – Clinical Modification' (ICD-10-CM) codes matching
20 the diagnosis of lumbar radiculopathy (table 1).

21

22 *Variables*

1 The following data were extracted: (1) age; (2) sex; (3) primary diagnosis (ICD-10-CM codes); (4) secondary
2 diagnoses (ICD-10-CM codes); (5) type of surgery (ICD-10-PCS codes); (6) severity of illness (SOI); (7)
3 hospitalization dates; (8) type of hospital admission and (9) all claims incurred for the hospital stay.

4 Possible ICD-10-CM codes for primary diagnosis were identical to the ones used for selecting eligible
5 patients (table 1). Additional ICD-10-CM codes appointed to patients, were registered as secondary
6 diagnoses and categorized into 24 subgroups for analysis (available upon request). Type of surgery was
7 determined based on ICD-10-PCS (Procedure Coding System) codes (available upon request). Severity of
8 illness was presented as minor, moderate, major or extreme. The process for determining SOI contains
9 several steps and is based on the primary diagnosis, (combinations of) secondary diagnoses, age and
10 (response to) procedures. The complete procedure can be found in the 3M APR DRG Classification System
11 Reference Guide (available upon request)²⁰. For type of hospital admission, the distinction was made
12 between admissions via the emergency department and elective hospitalizations.

13 For all provided healthcare and related claims during hospitalization, National Institute for Health and
14 Disability Insurance (NIHDI) nomenclature codes were extracted. The NIHDI is the public institution for
15 social security in Belgium, managing and controlling the obligatory insurance for medical care and alimony.
16 Total intramural costs were determined by the sum of the incurred claims for the delivered health services
17 and the average day price for Belgium in 2016. The latter was obtained by consultation of the Belgian
18 Health Care Knowledge Centre (KCE).

19 Additionally, all extracted NIHDI nomenclature codes were categorized into different clusters of healthcare
20 costs (available upon request). The clusters 'Anesthesia', 'Clinical biology', 'Medical imaging' and
21 'Physiotherapy' include all claims related to the respective specialisms, including lump sums and
22 honoraria. 'Consultations' comprises all claims for consultations with medical doctors. All codes for the
23 execution of perioperative and other examinations are clustered under 'Examinations'. 'Honoraria' and
24 'Lump sums' comprise all honorarium and lump sum codes not related to certain interventions or

1 examinations. Pharmacy claims were subdivided into 'Medication' and 'Parapharmacy'. The cluster
2 'Residence' contains all claims related to the residence of the patient (i.e., 'hotel costs'), including nursing
3 claims, and the average day price for Belgium in 2016. All costs were displayed in euros 2016.

4

5 *Data analysis*

6 First, descriptive statistics were performed for all variables. Second, univariate non-parametric analyses
7 (Mann-Whitney U and Kruskal-Wallis analyses) were used to explore associations between total
8 healthcare claims and all other variables separately. Third, generalized linear models (GLM) with gamma
9 distributions and log links were executed to determine factors significantly associated with total hospital
10 costs. Variables showing significance in the univariate analyses were considered in the GLM-model.
11 Forward modelling based on Akaike Information Criteria (AIC) and $p < .05$ was applied to achieve the most
12 parsimonious multivariate model. An iterative process was used, consecutively adding, and if non-
13 significant in the model, removing variables from the model, starting with the variable with the lowest p-
14 value in the univariate analysis. Sex and age were forced into the model because of their known influence
15 on hospital costs^{9,12,21-27}. Length of stay was not included in the final model due to the direct link with total
16 hospital cost, which would lead to model deterioration. As a gamma distribution varies between 0 and $\infty+$
17 the minimum cost was subtracted from the total cost for each patient. Model assumptions were checked
18 by analyzing multicollinearity in the variable set of the final model and a graphical analysis of the
19 standardized normality plots of the residuals. Extreme outliers based on length of stay were omitted from
20 the analyses. Statistics were performed using Stata Statistical Software Release 12 (College Station, TX:
21 StataCorp LP).

22

23

1 **Results**

2 *Sample*

3 In total, 141 patients who underwent surgery for lumbar radiculopathy during the year 2016 were
4 identified. Two extreme outliers based on length of stay (> 20 days) were excluded from the analyses,
5 resulting in 139 cases.

6 An overview of the sample characteristics can be found in table 2.

7

8 *Hospital costs*

9 Mean total hospital costs were calculated at € 5,016 ± 188 (median: € 4,382) per patient (table 2).
10 Distribution of total costs over different subcategories of claims is displayed in table 3. The category
11 'Residence', which includes the day price and all claims related to nursing, comprised a mean proportion
12 of 53% of total hospital costs, being the most expensive category. The second highest amount was claimed
13 for the surgical procedure, encompassing a mean proportion of 18%, followed by claims related to
14 anesthesia (8%) and clinical biology (5%).

15

16 *Factors influencing hospital costs*

17 Table 2 presents both descriptive data of total hospital costs in subgroups of the sample and the results of
18 the univariate analyses. First of all, patients with minor SOI had significantly lower hospital costs compared
19 to patients with moderate or major SOI ($p < .001$). Second, total costs varied significantly depending on the
20 primary diagnosis of the patient ($p = .033$). Furthermore, the presence of several secondary diagnoses led
21 to significantly higher costs, more specifically, 'Complications related to surgery' ($p = .023$), 'Urogenital
22 disorders' ($p = .012$) and 'Preadmission comorbidities' ($p = .009$). Third, considering the surgical

1 interventions, 'Fusion or dynamic stabilization' resulted in significantly higher total hospital costs ($p<.001$),
2 as did 'Repair of dura mater or spinal meninges' ($p=.013$). Fourth, patients undergoing an emergency
3 procedure, had significantly higher costs compared to those undergoing elective surgery ($p=.016$). Finally,
4 more than 5 days of hospitalization resulted in significantly higher intramural costs compared to shorter
5 stays ($p<.001$).

6 Those variables showing a significant relation with total hospital costs in the univariate analysis were
7 included in the GLM multivariate analyses based on forward modelling. The surgical procedure 'Fusion or
8 dynamic stabilization' was significant in the model. Therefore, all other surgical procedures were also
9 included in the model to ensure a complete representation of all surgical techniques. The final model is
10 presented in table 4.

11 Controlling for all other variables in the model, patients with moderate/major SOI had 49% higher hospital
12 costs compared to patients with minor SOI ($p=.01$). The presence of secondary diagnosis 'Preadmission
13 comorbidities' incurred 46% higher costs ($p=.03$) compared to those without such comorbidities. Patients
14 who admitted the hospital through the emergency department had 72% higher costs compared to patients
15 who underwent elective surgery ($p<.001$). Last, patients undergoing fusion surgery had 211% higher
16 hospital costs compared to patients not having this intervention ($p<.001$).

17

18 **Discussion**

19 This study gives an overview of the intramural healthcare costs in patients undergoing surgery for lumbar
20 radiculopathy in a tertiary care hospital located in the capital city of Belgium. Furthermore, variables
21 influencing total hospital costs were identified. Mean total hospital costs were € 5,016 ± 188 per patient.
22 The highest costs were claimed for the actual residence in the hospital (i.e., 'hotel costs'), followed by
23 claims related to the surgical procedure. Variables significantly influencing total intramural costs were SOI,

1 the presence of secondary diagnosis 'Preadmission comorbidities', admission to the hospital (elective vs
2 emergency) and receiving fusion or dynamic stabilization surgery.

3 Total costs in this study are composed of the part payed by the patient (or his/her hospitalization
4 insurance) and the part covered by health insurance, ensuring a complete representation of the expenses
5 by both patient and society. Phan et al. (2015) conducted a systematic review investigating the total
6 hospital costs for lumbar fusion surgery in the US and Canada²⁸. In our study the mean total hospital cost,
7 when undergoing lumbar fusion surgery, was € 9,154 ± 550, while the former systematic review found a
8 mean hospital cost between € 10,394 [\$ 12,011] and € 32,610 [\$ 37,681]. This indicates that hospitalization
9 for lumbar fusion surgery, and possibly for all lumbar procedures, is more expensive in North America,
10 compared to Belgium. This might not be surprising, as it is widely known that healthcare utilization is more
11 expensive in the US compared to European countries²⁹.

12 More than half of the total costs comprised claims related to hospital residence, including the day price.
13 This reflects the strong relationship between length of stay and total hospital costs, wherefore the former
14 variable was omitted from the multivariate analysis. Another study found that 1% change in length of stay
15 results in 0.47% change in hospital costs in patients who underwent spine surgery²¹. Strikingly, only 18%
16 of the total costs were claimed for the actual reason for hospitalization, namely, the surgical procedure.

17 The drivers of hospital costs identified by the present study are partly in line with findings of research in
18 other populations. Missios et al. (2015) investigated predictors of hospital costs for spine surgery in general
19 in the US²¹. They found that, among other factors, the execution of fusion surgery and the presence of
20 comorbidities were significant predictors for hospital costs. These results were confirmed by our study, as
21 undergoing fusion surgery had the highest influence on hospital costs, and the presence of comorbidities
22 was also pointed out as a driver of hospital costs. Likewise, other research highlighted the fact that
23 arthrodesis surgery^{8,30} and comorbidities^{24,25,30,31} are associated with higher hospital costs.

1 In our multivariate analysis, only the cluster 'Preadmission comorbidities' remained a significant driver of
2 hospital costs when controlling for other confounders. Among others, this cluster includes history of
3 malignancy, a comorbidity which was also identified as an influencer of hospital costs by Minhas et al.
4 (2015)²⁴. On the contrary, the latter and Kalanithi et al. (2012), found that the presence of obesity (BMI \geq
5 30) was a significant predictor for hospital costs. This finding was not confirmed by our study, nor in the
6 univariate or the multivariate analyses^{24,31}. One possible explanation for this discrepancy might be the fact
7 that previous studies^{24,31} were focused solely on fusion/arthrodesis surgeries, whereas the present study
8 included all surgical interventions for lumbar radiculopathy.

9 Missios et al. (2015) found a significant influence of age and sex, which was not confirmed by our study²¹.
10 Yet, the influence of age on hospital costs was already affirmed by a number of studies in several
11 populations²²⁻²⁶. A possible explanation for the non-significance in our analyses, might be the small sample
12 size in combination with a rather small influence on hospital costs.

13 Another important variable influencing hospital costs in our sample was type of admission to the hospital,
14 with emergency admissions being significantly more expensive compared to elective hospitalizations. This
15 finding is in accordance with the results of Kukreja et al. (2016), who found that patients undergoing
16 emergency fusion surgery had a significantly longer length of stay compared to patients undergoing
17 elective interventions³². Also, the independent association between SOI and hospital costs as revealed by
18 our analyses, could be affirmed by findings in another population, namely patients receiving total joint
19 arthroplasty³³.

20

21 *Strengths and limitations*

22 Notwithstanding the high prevalence of surgeries for lumbar radiculopathy³ and the availability of cost-
23 utility and cost-effectiveness studies³⁴⁻³⁶, this study is - to the best of our knowledge - the first study in

1 Europe to give an extensive overview of the in-hospital costs related to the primary hospitalization for
2 surgery for lumbar radiculopathy, as well as variables possibly influencing these intramural costs.
3 Therefore the results will be of high interest to clinicians and their patients, as well as healthcare policy
4 makers. This study indicates that total hospital costs are influenced by preoperative characteristics of
5 patients undergoing surgery for lumbar radiculopathy. Furthermore, we were able to quantify the
6 difference in hospital costs based on these influencers. Another important methodological strength is the
7 fact that all patients undergoing surgery for lumbar radiculopathy in 2016 in the UZ Brussel were
8 considered, therefore reducing selection bias.

9 When interpreting the results of this study, some limitations should be taken into account. First of all, data
10 were extracted from secondary databases, which might have induced bias due to coding errors. Second,
11 we transformed the data into dummy variables, possibly influencing the results, however clustering was
12 thoroughly discussed with all authors, including researchers, clinicians and a medical information
13 manager. To avoid loss of information for the readers, all details concerning the clustering are available
14 upon request. Third, due to the limited sample size, it was not meaningful to interpret the GLM model as
15 an equation to estimate total costs, nonetheless it delivered us an overview of factors significantly
16 influencing intramural costs while controlling for other confounders. Fourth, only data from one tertiary
17 care hospital, the University Hospital of Brussels, were included in the analyses. Because of this specific
18 setting, the results are not entirely generalizable. However, by using the average day price for Belgium in
19 2016, and not the particular one of the University Hospital of Brussels, we partly controlled for this
20 potential source of bias. The influence of this issue on the results is deemed to be limited for the
21 identification of factors influencing total hospital costs, but it might be reflected in the distribution of costs
22 over several subgroups of healthcare costs.

23

1 *Recommendations for future research*

2 Multicentric studies including both tertiary and secondary care hospitals exploring intramural healthcare
3 costs in patients undergoing surgery for lumbar radiculopathy are warranted. These will lead to the
4 possibility of defining a generalizable model for hospital cost estimation, including the influence of the
5 level of the hospital.

6

7 *Conclusion*

8 Mean hospital costs related to surgery for lumbar radiculopathy in a tertiary care hospital in Belgium were
9 € 5,016 ± 188 per patient. The severity of illness, comorbidities, admission to the hospital (elective vs
10 emergency) and receiving fusion were identified as independent drivers for hospital costs. As this is the
11 first study examining (determinants of) health care costs for lumbar surgery in Europe, future studies
12 should investigate (determinants) of healthcare costs for lumbar surgery in secondary care hospitals in
13 other European countries.